Page 1 of 14

Case 3:08-cy-03168-EMC Document 8 Filed 09/11/2008

1 Christine K. Noma, Bar No. 104751 WENDEL, ROSEN, BLACK & DEAN LLP 2 1111 Broadway, 24th Floor Oakland, California 94607-4036 3 Telephone: (510) 834-6600 Fax: (510) 834-1928 Email: cnoma@wendel.com 4 5 Attorneys for Defendants Michael R. Neely, Perry J. Neely and Gary Neely 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 Wendel, Rosen, Black & Dean LLP 1111 Brosdway, 24th Floor Oskiand, CA 94607-4036 Case No. CV 08 3168 EMC PALMTREE ACQUISITION 11 CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, 12 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE CONSENT TO PROCÉED Plaintiff. **BEFORE AN UNITED STATES** 13 MAGISTRATE JUDGE; ANSWERS, CROSSCLAIMS, COUNTERCLAIMS AND VS. 14 AMENDED PLEADINGS; MEDIATION; 15 MICHAEL R. NEELY, an individual, SCHEDULING OF INITIAL CASE PERRY J. NEELY, an individual; GARY MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE; AND NEELY, an individual; MICHAEL R. NEELY, PERRY J. NEELY and GARY 16 DISCOVERY STAY 17 NEELY dba MIKE'S ONE HOUR CLEANERS; CHARLES FREDERICK 18 HARTZ dba PAUL'S SPARKLE CLEANERS; CHARLES F. HARTZ, an 19 individual; MULTIMATIC CORPORATION, a New Jersey corporation; WESTERN STATES 20 DESIGN, a California corporation; 21 MCCORDUCK PROPERTIES LIVERMORE, LLC, a Delaware limited 22 liability company individually and as the successor to JOHN MCCORMICK, 23 KATHLEEN MCCORDUCK, PAMELA MCCORDUCK, SANDRA MCCORDUCK MARONA, and IMA 24 FINANCIAL CORPORATION, a 25 California corporation; STARK INVESTMENT COMPANY, a California general partnership; GRUBB & ELLIS 26 REALTY INCOMÉ TRUST. . 27 28 006000.0001\1038411.1

Wendel, Rosen, Black & Dean LLP 1111 Brosdway, 24th Floor Oskland, CA 94807-4038 LIQUIDATING TRUST, a California trust; and DOES 1-20, inclusive,

Defendants.

### RECITALS

- A. Plaintiff Palmtree Acquisition Corporation filed this action ("Action") as a "reopener" of a prior action that was conditionally settled, which prior action was filed on February 3, 1993 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, entitled *Grubb* & Ellis Realty Trust v. Catellus Development Corp., et al., and related cross-actions, Case No. C93-0383 SBA ("Prior Action").
- B. On February 7, 1994, the parties to the Prior Action entered into a settlement agreement ("1994 Settlement"). On February 17, 1994, this Court entered an order approving the settlement agreement and dismissing the Prior Action.
- C. Pursuant to the 1994 Settlement, the parties agreed that the release amongst each other would not extend to:
  - "...any claims, causes of action, obligations, damages, expenses or liabilities resulting from (1) claims or cross-claims arising from actions brought by third parties after the date of this agreement relating to PCE [perchloroethylene] contamination at the properties, or (2) actions by governmental agencies requiring cleanup of PCE contamination or seeking recovery of governmental response costs for the cleanup of PCE contamination: (a) of the deeper aquifer as defined in Paragraph 5 of SCO [Site Cleanup Order], or (b) in the form of DNAPLs, defined as PCE found in pore-water concentrations which exceed their effective soluabilities as measured using the residual DNAPL detection method of Feenstra, Mackay, and Cherry (1991). The limitations expressed in the preceding sentence on the release contained in this paragraph are referred to as "the Paragraph 9 reopeners".
- D. On March 17, 2008, and March 21, 2008, the California Regional Water Quality Control Board ("RWQCB"), a governmental agency, sent letters to certain of the defendants and

the plaintiff, and/or their predecessors, requiring the further investigation and monitoring of PCE
contamination which potentially impacted the deeper aquifer that may be in the form of
DNAPLS, thereby triggering the "Paragraph 9 reopeners" ("RWQCB Directives"). As a result of
the RWQCB Directives, certain parties to the prior 1994 Settlement, made demand upon other
parties asserting that the Paragraph 9 reopener applied and demanding that they respond to the
RWQCB Directives.

- E. On July 1, 2008, plaintiff Palmtree Acquisition Corporation, the successor to one of the 1994 Settlement parties, Catellus Development Corporation, filed a Complaint for CERCLA Cost Recovery, Damages and Declaratory Relief, seeking contribution and damages against certain of the other parties to the 1994 Settlement, pursuant to the Paragraph 9 reopener ("Current Action").
- F. Subsequent to the filing of the Current Action, the parties to the Current Action agreed to cooperate in jointly retaining an environmental consultant to respond to the RWQCB Directives. The environmental consultant has been engaged and the parties to the Current Action anticipate that the initial response to the RWQCB Directives will be completed by January 1, 2009.
- G. In the course of the litigating the Prior Action, the parties to the Prior Action engaged in discovery relating to the factual background, ownership and operations of certain of the parties to the Prior Action and their conduct which may have resulted in the PCE contamination.
- H. The parties to this Current Action who were defendants in the Prior Action answered, and filed various crossclaims and/or counterclaims.
- I. The responses, defenses, crossclaims and/or counterclaims in this Current Action should be substantially similar to those raised in the Prior Action.
- J. Most of the defendants in the Current Action have entered into stipulations with the plaintiff to extend the time to respond to the complaint to September 15, 2008.
- K. The parties to the Current Action have met and conferred pursuant to the Court's Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference and ADR Deadlines and submit this

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Stipulation to address scheduling, case management and ADR issues.

Therefore, in the interest of judicial economy, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1(b) and 7-12, the parties below hereby agree and stipulate as follows:

#### STIPULATION

- 1. In accordance with the provisions of Title 28, U.S.C. Section 636(c), the parties hereby voluntarily consent to have a United States Magistrate Judge Edward M. Chen conduct any and all further proceeding in the case, including trial, and order the entry of a final judgment. Appeal from the judgment shall be taken directly to the United States Court of Appeal.
- 2. Further the parties acknowledge that counsel for Michael R. Neely, Perry J. Neely and Gary Neely, Christine K. Noma, has disclosed that she has known Magistrate Judge Chen for over 20 years and that they belong to and support many of the same legal organizations; and each of the parties do not object to Magistrate Judge Edward Chen presiding over this Action.
- 3. Each of the defendants in this Current Action shall be deemed to have denied each and every allegation in the Complaint.
- 4. The defendants to this Current Action shall be deemed to have filed crossclaims against each other for contribution and indemnity and to have filed counterclaims for contribution and indemnity against the plaintiff.
- 5. Each of the defendants to this Current Action reserves the right to supplement its response to the complaint, and may file an answer and separate crossclaims or counterclaims at a later date, but no later than 60 days following the conclusion of Mediation as described below.
- 6. Each of the defendants to this Current Action further reserves the right to file crossclaims against other third parties who are not parties to this Action, and the parties reserve any and all rights against such third parties. The plaintiff reserves the right to amend the complaint to add or remove allegations, to add new parties or to make any other changes consistent with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 7. The parties to this Current Action agree to commence settlement discussions with a private mediator, to be scheduled no later than February 2, 2009 ("Mediation"). The Mediation may cover multiple days and may be continued from time to time and will be deemed to have

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE CONSENT TO PROCEED BEFORE A

1

2

concluded at such time as: (a) a settlement is reached, or (b) the mediator issues a letter concluding that a settlement has not been reached and the Mediation is concluded.

- 8. In order to allow the parties to proceed with Mediation, the parties to the Current Action request that the court continue the Initial Case Management Conference now scheduled for October 8, 2008 to March 18, 2009, and the parties shall submit a joint case management conference statement advising the Court as to the status of the Mediation no later than March 11, 2009.
- 9. The parties shall meet and confer regarding the case management statement no later than February 13, 2009.
- 10. The parties further agree that all discovery including, initial disclosures, shall be stayed pending resolution of the Mediation or until the Court lifts the stay on discovery.

Wherefore, the Parties respectfully request that the Court approve this Stipulation.

DATED: 99 2008 Cox, Castle & Nicholson LLP

Stuart I. Block
Peter M. Morrisette
Attorneys for Palmtree Acquisition
Corporation f/k/a Catellus Development

TED: Wendel, Rosen, Black & Dean LLP

By: ( ////

Attorneys for Michael R. Neely, Perry J. Neely, and Gary Neely, dba Mike's One Hour Cleaners

		•• •		•
	1.	DATED:		Rogers Joseph O'Donnell
•	2		•	
	3		By:	FIR
	4		. 2,	Robert C. Goodman, Esq. Attorneys for Charles Frederick Hartz, dba Paul's
	5			Sparkle Cleaners
·	6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
	7	DATED:	<del></del> .	Dongell Lawrence Finney, LLP
٠.	- 8	•	D.,,	
	9		Ву:	Thomas F. Vandenburg, Esq. Attorneys for Multimatic Corporation
٠	10			Attorneys for Mutumatic Corporation
; ;	11.			Foley Mcintosh Frey & Claytor
	12	DATED:		roley Mentosh Frey & Clayor
Wendel, Rosen, Black & Dean LLP 1111 Bradway, 24th Floor Oskiend, CA 84607-4038	13		•	
Rosen, 11 Broad eldend. C	14		By:	Vounath W. Duitikin Egg
ender.	15			Kenneth W. Pritikin, Esq. Attorneys for Western State Design
<b>≸</b>	16			
	17	DATED:		Gordon, Watrous, Ryan, Langley, Bruno & Paltenghi
	18			ratengm
	19		•	
	. 20		By:	Bruce C. Paltenghi, Esq.
	21			Attorneys for McCorduck Properties Livermore, LLC
	22			
	23	DATED:		Gonsalves & Kozachenko
	24	DATED.	<del></del>	Consaives & Rozachenko
	-25		By:	: Paul Kozachenko, Esq.
•	26			Attorneys for Stark Investment Company
	27			
	28			
	01/1038411.1			-6-
Annie Marie	/1.113 <del>04</del> 11.1	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER BE CONSENT TO PE	OCEED BEFORE A	

Wendel, Rosen, Black & Dean LLP 1111 Breathery, 24th Reor Cakland, CA 94507-4999

Document 8

Filed 09/11/2008 Page 7 of 14

	ŀ		
1	DATED:	_	Rogers Joseph O'Donnell
2,			
3			•
4		By:	Robert C. Goodman, Esq.
5			Attorneys for Charles Frederick Hartz, dba Paul's Sparkle Cleaners
6			
7	DATED:	_	Dongell Lawrence Finney, LLP
8		,	Hilly Culies for
9		By:	Thomas F. Vandenburg, Esq.
10			Attorneys for Multimatic Corporation
11	D.A. MED.		
12	DATED:	- :::	Foley Mcintosh Frey & Claytor
13			
14		Ву:	
15			Kenneth W. Pritikin, Esq. Attorneys for Western State Design
16			
17	DATED:	_	Gordon, Watrous, Ryan, Langley, Bruno &
18			Paltenghi
19			
20	•	Ву:	
21			Bruce C. Paltenghi, Esq. Attorneys for McCorduck Properties Livermore,
22			LLC
23			
24	DATED:		Gonsalves & Kozachenko
25		Ву:	
26			Paul Kozachenko, Esq. Attorneys for Stark Investment Company
27			
28			
038411.1			-6-
			<del>-</del>

Document 8

Filed 09/11/2008 Page 8 of 14

1	DATED:	-	Rogers Joseph O'Donnell
2			
3	·	_	
4		By:	Robert C. Goodman, Esq.
· <b>5</b> `			Attorneys for Charles Frederick Hartz, dba Paul's Sparkle Cleaners
6			
7	DATED		Daniel I amena Piana II D
	DATED:	<b>-</b> .	Dongell Lawrence Finney, LLP
. 8		By:	
9			Thomas F. Vandenburg, Esq. Attorneys for Multimatic Corporation
10		· .	41tonioys for intuitinate Corporation
11	DATED: 9/8/DX		
. 12	DATED: 9/8/08	-	Foley Mcintosh Frey & Claytor
13.			
14		By:	$a$ $\lambda L L$
		Dy.	Kenneth W. Pritikin, Esq.
15			Attorneys for Western State Design
16			
17	DATED:	<b>-</b>	Gordon, Watrous, Ryan, Langley, Bruno & Paltenghi
18			z attengin
19			
20		Ву:	
21		-	Bruce C. Paltenghi, Esq. Attorneys for McCorduck Properties Livermore,
22			LLC
23	DATED:		Gonsalves & Kozachenko
24			
25		Ву:	Paul Kozachenko, Esq.
26			Attorneys for Stark Investment Company
27			
28			
•			
938411.7			-6-

006000.0001\1038411.

Document 8

Filed 09/11/2008

Page 9 of 14

		·	
	1	DATED:	Rogers Joseph O'Donnell
	2		
	3	By:	
	4,	<b>By.</b>	Robert C. Goodman, Esq.
	5		Attorneys for Charles Frederick Hartz, dba Paul's Sparkle Cleaners
	6		
		DA MOD	Discoult I numerous Finney I I D
	7	DATED:	Dongell Lawrence Finney, LLP
	8	Ву:	
	9		Thomas F. Vandenburg, Esq. Attorneys for Multimatic Corporation
,	10		Auomeys for Multimatic Corporation
<u>.</u>	11		
Call Brushway, 24th Float Dattand, CA 94607-4016		DATED:	Foley Mcintosh Frey & Claytor
i, Digen a. D ideay, 26th Floa CA Siebt-4021	12		
CA SE	13		
111 Beug Daktans,	14	Ву:	
. = 6	15		Kenneth W. Pritikin, Esq. Attorneys for Western State Design
	16	<b>a</b>	
		DATED: Sept 9, 2008	Coulon Waters's Duran Versales Duran A
	17	DATED: DENT 1, COOP	Gordon, Watrous, Ryan, Langley, Bruno & Paltenghi
. :	18		
	19		16. plan
	20	Ву:	I'm yya
	21		Bruce C. Paltenghi, Esq. Attorneys for McCorduck Properties Livermore,
	22		LLC
	23	DATED:	Gonsalves & Kozachenko
	24		THE PROPERTY OF THE BASE BASE STATES AND THE STATES
	25	Ву	( <u> </u>
	26		Paul Kozachenko, Esq. Attorneys for Stark Investment Company
			inolity's to built in value to company
	27		
	28	·	
4m-ha-			4
1800,000,000	1038411.1	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER RE CONSENT TO PROCEED REFORE	- U -

Document 8

Filed 09/11/2008

Page 10 of 14

		·		
	1	DATED:		Rogers Joseph O'Donnell
		DATED,	-	Rogers Joseph & Domien
	2		Ву:	•
	3			Robert C. Goodman, Esq.
	4 <sup>1</sup> 5			Attorneys for Charles Frederick Hartz, dba Paul's Sparkle Cleaners
	6			Sparkle Cleaners
	7	DATED:	_	Dongell Lawrence Finney, LLP
	.8			Dongen Zamenee i maey, ZZ
	9		By:	Thomas F. Vandenburg, Esq.
	10			Attorneys for Multimatic Corporation
<b>a</b>	11		٠.	
Dean I	12	DATED:	_	Foley Mcintosh Frey & Claytor
Black & De ray, 24th Floor 1, 84697-4039	13			
Stoadwa	14		By:	
Wendel, Rosen, Black & Dean LLP 1111 Broadway, 24th Floor Oakland, CA 94907-4039	15			Kenneth W. Pritikin, Esq. Attorneys for Western State Design
W	16			
	17	DATED:	-	Gordon, Watrous, Ryan, Langley, Bruno &
	- 18			Paltenghi
	19			
	20	·	By:	Proce C Poltonghi Esq
•	21			Bruce C. Paltenghi, Esq. Attorneys for McCorduck Properties Livermore, LLC
	22			
	23	DATED: 9-9-2008		Gonsalves & Kozachenko
	24		•	POV 1
	25		Ву	Paul Kozacheńko, Eso
	26			Attorneys for Stark Investment Company
	27			
	28			
006000-0001\	1038411 L	STIPULATION AND IPROPOSED ORDER BE CONSENT TO PROCEED	<u>.</u>	-6-

# Case 3:08-cv-03168-EMC Document 13 Filed 09/15/08 Page 11 of 14

	1						
	2	DATED: 9/10/08					
	3	By: July Colly					
	4	Harold A. Ellis Jr., Trustee for Grubb & Ellis Realty Income Trust, Liquidating Trust					
	5	Trust					
	6						
	7	·					
	8	ORDER					
	9	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT 18 SO ORDERED.					
	10	Dated: 9/15/08					
	11						
, NOSON, DIRCK OLDER 1111 Broadway, 24th Floor Oakland, CA 84607-4036	12	Edward M. Chen  Magistrate Judge of the United States District  Court					
oadway.	13	Court					
91, 7038 1111 Br Oaklan	14						
	15						
	16 17						
	-18						
	19						
	20						
	21						
	22						
	23						
	24						
	25	:					
	26						
	27						
	28						
006000.000	1/1038411.1	- <b>7</b> -					
		·					

### Case 3:08-cv-03168-EMC Document 13 Filed 09/15/08 Page 12 of 14

Case 3:08-cv-03168-EMC Docu

Document 8

Filed 09/11/2008

Page 12 of 14

Wendel, Rosen, Black & Dean LLP 1111 Brosdway, 24th Floor Cakland, CA 94807-4036

#### 1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 I, Eileen M. Dunbar, declare: 3 I am a citizen of the United States and am employed in Alameda County, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address 4 is 1111 Broadway, 24th Floor, Oakland, California 94607-4036. On September 11, 2008, I served a copy of the within document(s): 5 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE CONSENT TO PROCEED BEFORE A 6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE; ANSWERS, CROSSCLAIMS, COUNTERCLAIMS AND AMENDED PLEADINGS; MEDIATION; SCHEDULING OF 7 INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE; AND DISCOVERY STAY 8 by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m. The facsimile machine I used complied with California 9 Rules of the Court, Rule 2003, and no error was reported by the machine. Pursuant to California Rules of the Court, Rule 2006(d), I caused the machine to print a transmission 10 record of the transmission, a copy of which is attached to this Proof of Service. 11 at my business address identified above by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed × 12 envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, and by placing the envelope, addressed as set forth below, for deposit in the United States Postal Service that same day in the ordinary 13 course of business. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the 14 U.S. Postal Service on the same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed 15 invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of 16 deposit for mailing in affidavit. 17 by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with overnight delivery fees paid or provided for, addressed to the person(s) on whom it is to be served, at 18 the address(es) set forth below, and causing the envelope to be delivered that same date to a courier or driver authorized by the express service carrier to receive 19 documents for delivery. 20 by personally delivering true and correct copies of the document(s) listed above in a sealed 21 envelope, addressed to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below, by leaving the envelope, which was clearly labeled to identify the attorney(es) being served, with the 22 receptionist or other person apparently in charge at the address(es) set forth below. 23 24 25

006000.0001\1039714.1

26

27

1		Stuart I. Block Peter M. Morrisette	Attorneys for Plaintiff Palmtree Acquisition Corporation	
2		Cox, Castle & Nicholson LLP	Acquisition Corporation	
3		555 California Street, 10 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94104		
4		Robert C. Goodman, Esq.		
5		Zachary M. Radford, Esq. Rogers Joseph O'Donnell	Attorneys for Charles Frederick Hartz and dba Paul's Sparkle Cleaners	
6		311 California Street, 10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104	_	
7 8		Thomas A. Vandenberg, Esq.		
9		Dongell Lawrence Finney, LLP	Attorneys for Multimatic Corporation	
10		707 Wilshire Blvd., 45th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017		
11		Kenneth W. Pritikin, Esq. Foley McIntosh Frey & Claytor	Attorneys for Western States Design	
12		3675 Mt. Diablo Blvd., Suite 250	Thorneys for restern states Design	
13		Lafayette, CA 94549		
14		Bruce C. Paltenghi, Esq. Gordon, Watrons, Ryan, Langley,	Attorneys for McCorduck Properties	
15		Bruno & Paltenghi 611 Las Juntas Street	Livermore, LLC	
16		Martinez, CA 94533-1221		
17		Paul Kozachenko, Esq. Gonsalves & Kozachenko	Attorneys for Stark Investment	
18		1133 Auburn Streeet	Company	
19		Fremont, CA 94538		
20		James F. Ellis Ellis Partners LLC	Representative for Grubb & Ellis Realty Income Trust Liquidating Trust	
21		111 Sutter Street, Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94104		
22		ŕ	the laws of the United States of America that the	
23	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that th foregoing is true and correct.			
25	×		the laws of the State of California that the	
26		foregoing is true and correct.		
27				
28				
	00600	0.0001\1039714.1	2 -	
		•	PROOF OF SERVICE	

### Case 3:08-cv-03168-EMC Document 13 Filed 09/15/08 Page 14 of 14

Wendel, Rosen, Black & Dean LLP 1111 Broadway, 24th Floor Oakland, CA 94807-4038